

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/971,793		10/05/2001	Carolyn A. Brodie	YOR920010537US1	3295	
	7590	04/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DAVID AKI		D	CHAMPAGNE, DONALD			
23 SOUTHERN ROAD HARTSDALE, NY 10530				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3622	3622	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Assists Comments	09/971,793	BRODIE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Donald L. Champagne	3622					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	anuarv 2006.						
		s action is non-final.						
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
6)🖂								
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive	on No					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		or the continued copies not received	u.					
Attachment 1) Notice								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 9 January 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are addressed by the following revised rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 4. <u>Claims 1-16 and 18-20</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Payne et al. (US005715314A).
- 5. Payne et al. teaches (independent claims 1 and 19) a method for providing one or more advertising messages, which reads on alerts, over a network, the method comprising the steps of: composing one or more alert messages/advertisements which are sent to alert database/advertisement database 18 (col. 2 line 67 to col. 3 line 3 and col. 4 lines 52-54); using the network 10, which reads on using network links, for gathering a plurality of reaction enabling tools (a result of one or more searches computer 20 must search database 18 for the ad, which reads on a document with relevant data), for a user to use in a collaborative manner with other users (i.e., in conjunction or collaboration with other users of the Fig. 1 sales system) to respond to the respective alert (i.e., to buy something suggested by the ad, col. 5 lines 27-28); using data extracted from the alert

Application/Control Number: 09/971,793

Art Unit: 3622

Page 3

database/advertisement database 18, to dispatch the alert messages and corresponding reaction enabling tools to one or more of the clients over the network (col. 4 lines 60-63), the alert messages and corresponding reaction enabling tools that allow contact with the facilities useful in responding to the alert (again, the user buying something online, col. 5 lines 27-28). For claim 19, the search and pricing/accounting tools (col. 5 lines 5-15) taught by Payne et al. read on "research and computational" tools.

- 6. Payne et al. also teaches at the citations given above claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10-13, 14 (where the community of interest is the users of the WWW) and 20. Payne et al. also teaches claim 15, where an "expert" is anyone who knows the product and is willing to help a user friend select the best product (claim 16);
- 7. Payne et al. also teaches claim 4 (col. 5 line 17, where the user request reads on a human decision); claim 7, where the shopping cart database 21 and the settlement database 22 (col. 5 lines 5-15) read on databases of client information, claim 9, where the contents of the shopping cart database 21 read on a set of preferences of each user, and claim 18 (col. 6 lines 43-44).
- 8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Payne et al. (US005715314A). Payne et al. does not teach providing a message if a user frequently declines to respond to ads/alerts, which reads on an infrequent customer. Because special advertising and promotions are commonly used to entice infrequent customers, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the transmission of such special advertising and promotions, which reads on providing a message, to the teachings of Payne et al.

Conclusion

- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

Art Unit: 3622

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717. The fax phone number for all formal matters is 571-273-8300. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 571-272-6724.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 13. AFTER FINAL PRACTICE Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that "disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration" (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration. Applicant may have after final arguments considered and amendments entered by filing an RCE.
- 14. **ABANDONMENT** If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their

Art Unit: 3622

registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINER Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

10 April 2006